

## **Plant Archives**

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org
DOI Url: https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.no.2.398

## STEM BLEEDING IN COCONUT: UNRAVELING PATHOPHYSIOLOGY, MOLECULAR DIALOGUES, AND SMART MANAGEMENT FRONTIERS – A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE

V. Govardhan Rao<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Mutyala Naidu<sup>1</sup>, A. Kireeti<sup>1</sup>, V. Anoosha<sup>1</sup>, B. Neeraja<sup>1</sup>, S. Sumitha<sup>2</sup> and B. Augustine Jerard<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>AICRP on Palms, Horticultural Research Station, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Ambajipeta-533 214, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Project Coordinator Cell, ICAR-AICRP on Palms, ICAR-CPCRI, Kasaragod, Kerala \*Corresponding author E-mail: coconutresearch1955@gmail.com (Date of Receiving-01-08-2025; Date of Acceptance-30-10-2025)

en
ABSTRACT ho

in coconut cultivation across India and several tropical regions. The pathogen invades through wounds or natural cracks, thriving under high soil moisture and organic-rich soils. It produces a suite of enzymes, including polygalacturonases, cellulases, and pectinases, that degrade cell walls, leading to external bleeding, internal tissue maceration, canopy wilting, and nut drop. Coconut palms respond with limited accumulation of phenolics and lignin, yet these defenses are often insufficient. Recent research highlights the utility of enzyme-based treatments, such as chitinases and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases, which curtail fungal spread and enhance host resistance. Nanotechnology-enabled delivery systems particularly chitosan-based azole formulations and biosynthesized silver or metal oxide nanoparticles offer targeted control with reduced environmental risk. Eco-compatible strategies involving salicylic acid signaling, organic acids, siderophore-producing rhizobacteria, and bioactive metabolites like harzianic acid have shown promise in suppressing *T. paradoxa* while promoting host recovery. This review synthesizes recent insights into pathogen biology, host-pathogen interactions, and sustainable management avenues for durable control of SBD in coconut

Stem Bleeding Disease (SBD) of coconut, primarily caused by *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, is a major constraint

*Key words*: Stem Bleeding, *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, Coconut, Etiology, Symptomatology, Disease Physiology, Host–Pathogen Biochemical Interactions, Non-Conventional Disease Management Strategies

#### Introduction

Coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.), a vital crop in tropical regions, is extensively cultivated in India, with 23.33 lakh ha yielding 153.3 lakh metric tonnes in 2023–24 and a productivity of 9,346 nuts/ha (CDB, 2024); Andhra Pradesh alone produces 11.8 lakh metric tonnes annually, with high yields in Srikakulam and Konaseema and a GVO increase from Rs. 1,814 crore in 2018–19 to Rs. 2,763 crore in 2022–23 (AP Horticulture Dept., 2023; CDB, 2024). Stem bleeding disease, caused by *Ceratocystis paradoxa* (*Thielaviopsis paradoxa*), poses a major threat, with host resistance linked to the phenylpropanoid pathway and hormone-mediated immunity (Dixon *et al.*, 2002; Glazebrook, 2005; Pieterse

et al., 2012). Molecular diagnostics such as PCR, qPCR, LAMP, and UAV-based AI aid in early detection (Nandini et al., 2020; Purnamasari et al., 2021; Saad et al., 2022), while transcriptomics reveal expression changes in genes related to cell wall degradation and oxidative stress (Govindarajulu et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2013). Biocontrol agents including Trichoderma spp., Pseudomonas fluorescens, and Bacillus subtilis combat the pathogen via enzymatic and induced resistance mechanisms (Jayaraj et al., 2005; Snehalatharani et al., 2016; Rajeela et al., 2017), and endophytes from Latin America offer additional potential (Gaitán et al., 2021). Organic amendments enhance soil microbial balance and suppress disease (Sundararaj et al., 2012; Gunathilake et al., 2022),

and climate-driven forecasting and DSS tools are being developed for integrated disease management (Jayaweera *et al.*, 2023).

**Etiology and Taxonomy of** Thielaviopsis paradoxa (Ceratocystis paradoxa)

Stem Bleeding Disease (SBD) is a chronic and economically significant vascular wilt condition that affects mature coconut palms, particularly in India, Sri Lanka, and other coconut-growing regions of Southeast Asia. The disease is caused by *Ceratocystis paradoxa* (Ascomycota: Microascales), a soil- and wound-borne fungus known for its hemibiotrophic lifestyle and its association with other tropical crops such as pineapple and sugarcane (*Nandini et al.*, 2020; *Gunathilake et al.*, 2022).

#### **Etiology**

T. paradoxa, a soil-borne fungal pathogen, is the causal agent of stem bleeding disease in coconut, a condition characterized by the exudation of dark brown to black gummy fluid from cracks on the trunk surface, particularly near the base. The pathogen enters through wounds and colonizes the vascular tissues, leading to internal tissue disintegration and decline in coconut productivity. Environmental stress, poor drainage, and mechanical injuries further predispose palms to infection. Microsclerotia and chlamydospores of T. paradoxa enhance its survival in soil, making management challenging. The disease is of economic significance in several tropical coconut-growing regions due to its impact on palm health and yield (Sundram et al., 2015).

#### **Taxonomy**

Thielaviopsis paradoxa (De Seynes) Hohn., the causal agent of stem bleeding disease in coconut, is a member of the phylum Ascomycota, class Sordariomycetes, order Microascales, and family Ceratocystidaceae. It is the asexual morph (anamorph) of Ceratocystis paradoxa, which produces both phialoconidia and aleurioconidia, facilitating its dissemination through soil and air. The sexual (teleomorphic) stage forms perithecia with long necks and hat-shaped ascospores. Historically known under its anamorphic name, T. paradoxa is still widely cited in older literature. Advances in molecular phylogenetics, particularly through multilocus sequencing of ITS, βtubulin, and TEF1-α gene regions, have clarified its taxonomic position and revealed its inclusion within the C. paradoxa species complex, a group characterized by broad host ranges and a predominantly tropical distribution. This pathogen is a wound invader, infecting through injuries and causing internal tissue decay and the characteristic bleeding lesions on coconut trunks, making it a significant constraint in tropical coconut production systems (Paul *et al.*, 2020; Nandini *et al.*, 2020; Purnamasari *et al.*, 2021; Saad *et al.*, 2022).

### Identification of Ceratocystis paradoxa

Identification of Ceratocystis paradoxa, the causative agent of stem bleeding disease in coconut, has evolved from reliance on morphological characters especially aleurioconidia and phialoconidia in its asexual stage, formerly named Thielaviopsis paradoxa—to integrative approaches due to morphological plasticity and misidentification risks (Harrington, 2000; Isaac et al., 2015). Molecular phylogenetic tools, particularly multilocus sequencing of ITS, β-tubulin (TUB), and TEF1á, have significantly refined species delimitation and resolved cryptic species boundaries (Barnes et al., 2005; Nandini et al., 2020). Field isolates from infected coconut xylem and stem tissues in India and Sri Lanka have consistently confirmed the presence of C. paradoxa through molecular diagnostics (Mohandas et al., 2012; Gunathilake et al., 2022). Phylogenetic analyses also suggest notable genetic diversity among isolates across agro-climatic zones, and the presence of related lineages in cacao, durian, and arecanut implies potential crosshost adaptability (Van Wyk et al., 2013; Purnamasari et al., 2021). Accurate identification now depends on integrative taxonomy combining morphology, histopathology, and DNA sequencing, supported by tools like species-specific PCR primers and LAMP assays for early detection of latent infections (Nandini et al., 2020; Purnamasari et al., 2021). However, further global phylogenetic surveys are essential to fully resolve the species complex and its epidemiological dynamics.

#### **Disease Distribution**

Stem bleeding disease, caused by the opportunistic wound pathogen T. paradoxa (Ascomycota: Microascales), is an economically important and increasingly reported disorder of coconut in India and several tropical regions. Stem bleeding is characterized by external exudation of a dark reddish-brown fluid from the lower stem, often leading to progressive tissue necrosis, internal rotting, and eventual palm decline (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015; Anith et al., 2019). Stem bleeding disease of coconut is a chronic and economically damaging trunk disease widely distributed across tropical and subtropical coconut-growing regions. The disease is especially prevalent in South and Southeast Asia including India, Sri Lanka, Indonesia, and the Philippines where incidence in severely affected plantations can range from 10% to 40%, particularly under drought stress, aging palm stands, and poor soil health (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015; Nair & Rajendran, 2010; Flood et al., 2000). In Sri Lanka, over 30% of palms in older estates are affected (Perera et al., 2010), while in India, annual economic losses are estimated at 1 50–100 crores (USD 6–12 million) (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015). The disease has also been recorded in West Africa, Central America, Malaysia, and sporadically in the Pacific Islands, although underreporting and misdiagnosis are common in less-studied regions (Pilotti, 2005; Thorpe et al., 2005). Infected palms typically suffer yield reductions of up to 40%, poor copra quality, and premature death (Nampoothiri et al., 2003; Rajendran et al., 2003). Abiotic stressorssuch as prolonged dry spells, sandy soils, poor drainage, and monoculture practices—further exacerbate disease severity (Rees et al., 2012; Abdullah et al., 2017).

In India, the disease is a major constraint in traditional coconut-growing states. Kerala reports the highest incidence (15–25%), particularly in districts like Thrissur, Palakkad, Malappuram, and Kollam due to sandy soils and older palms (Kumar et al., 2012). In Tamil Nadu, incidence ranges from 10–15% in Coimbatore, Pollachi, and Thanjavur, where water stress and collar injuries are major contributing factors (Rao et al., 2025). Andhra Pradesh reports 10-12% incidence in East and West Godavari and Visakhapatnam, especially in poorly drained fields with mechanical trunk damage (Rao et al., 2025). In Karnataka, particularly in Dakshina Kannada and Udupi, incidence ranges from 6-10%, often linked to fluctuating soil moisture and root injury during ploughing (Sundararaj et al., 2020). Sporadic outbreaks (<5%) are observed in Goa and coastal Maharashtra, while Odisha shows emerging hotspots in Puri and Ganjam districts. These regional patterns, combined with increasing climate variability, highlight the urgent need for site-specific surveillance, early diagnosis, and integrated disease management approaches (Mohandas et al., 2012; Nelson, 2008).

#### **Epidemiology**

T. paradoxa survives in soil, infected plant debris, and crop residues as chlamydospores and conidia, which act as primary inocula under favorable environmental conditions (Nelson, 2008; Anith & Nandini, 2020). The pathogen primarily infects coconut palms through wounds at the trunk or root collar, caused by mechanical damage, insect activity such as Oryctes rhinoceros, or poor agronomic practices. It colonizes vascular tissues, impeding translocation and inducing the characteristic stem bleeding symptoms (Nandini et al., 2020). Disease

progression is promoted by high humidity (80-90%), optimal temperatures of 28–32°C, and poor soil drainage, with peak incidence during monsoon seasons in dense, overaged plantations with limited canopy ventilation (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015; Rajeev et al., 2018). While the pathogen thrives in sandy loam and lateritic soils, disease severity is exacerbated in clay-rich soils due to inadequate aeration and delayed wound healing (Nampoothiri et al., 2003). Though not truly systemic, infections can spread locally, leading to significant tissue decay and palm mortality. In India, the disease is commonly found in coastal regions of Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Andhra Pradesh, where salt stress, high rainfall, and nutrient deficiencies prevail (Anith et al., 2019; CDB, 2023). Early symptoms are often misdiagnosed, and in advanced stages, palms exhibit necrotic lesions, bark splitting, reduced nut yield, crown thinning, and are frequently compromised by secondary pathogens such as Aspergillus or Fusarium.

## Soil Conditions, Moisture, and Pathogen Virulence

Stem bleeding disease, caused by T. paradoxa, continues to pose a serious constraint to coconut productivity in tropical regions. Recent studies emphasize that the disease's progression and pathogen virulence are strongly modulated by soil physical conditions, moisture dynamics, and rhizospheric microbial interactions. An experimental soil-pathogen interaction study by Rao et al., (2025) sheds light on the ecological preferences of T. paradoxa under variable soil regimes.

#### Enhanced survival under low-moisture regimes

In a controlled trial assessing soil moisture from 20% to 80% of field capacity, T. paradoxa demonstrated increased survival and infection efficiency in drier conditions (20-60%), where infection rates were markedly higher. This resilience is linked to the formation of melanized chlamydospores, which enhance desiccation tolerance and facilitate latent colonization (Rao et al., 2025). Similar desiccation-resistant survival strategies have been noted for *Ceratocystis* species infecting tropical palms and bananas (Thorpe et al., 2005; Nelson, 2008).

#### Soil texture and root contact as infection drivers

Sandy and sandy loam soils were found to support greater root penetration and more frequent contact with residual inoculum, resulting in higher infection incidences ( $\approx$ 93.6%), compared to clay-heavy soils ( $\approx$ 76.4%) that limit pathogen spread due to reduced porosity and oxygen diffusion (Rao et al., 2025). These findings align with earlier observations that T. paradoxa thrives in wellaerated, porous soils, particularly where organic debris and root injuries are prevalent (Kumar *et al.*, 2012).

## Reduced microbial antagonism in nutrient-poor soils

Pathogen proliferation was pronounced in nutrient-deficient sandy soils, which typically harbor fewer antagonistic microbes. This ecological vacuum allows *T. paradoxa* to dominate the rhizosphere with minimal biocontrol pressure. In contrast, organically enriched loam soils supported microbial consortia such as *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and *Bacillus subtilis*, known for their antagonistic action through competition, antibiosis, and mycoparasitism (Sundararaj *et al.*, 2020; Kandan *et al.*, 2010). These microbial populations play a crucial role in suppressing soil-borne pathogens and limiting disease outbreaks

#### **Favorable Microclimate**

The incidence and severity of stem bleeding disease in coconut, caused by *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, are closely linked to microclimatic stress, particularly in sandy, thermo-hydrically variable soils. These soils promote fluctuating moisture regimes that exacerbate physiological stress in coconut roots and lower their natural defense thresholds. According to Rees *et al.* (2012), cyclic wetdry stress reduces lignification and compromises cell wall integrity, creating conducive entry points for necrotrophic pathogens like *T. paradoxa*. Concurrently, such stress conditions have been shown to enhance the expression of fungal virulence factors, including cell wall-degrading enzymes (CWDEs) such as polygalacturonases and peroxidases that facilitate tissue maceration (Abdullah *et al.*, 2017).

## Adaptation of pathogen to limited moisture environments

T. paradoxa also exhibits facultative necrotrophy and has shown the ability to persist in moderately dry environments by forming thick-walled chlamydospores that can tolerate desiccation (Rao et al., 2025). Comparative studies on pathogen behavior under controlled soil moisture conditions indicate higher colonization rates and symptom expression in dry, porous soils (Kumar et al., 2012), while waterlogged or clayrich soils with poor aeration tend to suppress disease progression by limiting oxygen availability and favoring antagonistic microbial populations (Thorpe et al., 2005).

### Implications for site-specific management

Recent insights highlight that the risk of stem bleeding is significantly modulated by soil type, moisture, and rhizospheric microbial dynamics (Sundararaj *et al.*, 2020).

Therefore, disease suppression strategies must address both host susceptibility and environmental conditions.

#### **Effective interventions**

Avoiding prolonged water stress in young coconut plantations through regulated drip irrigation and moisture conservation techniques. Enriching sandy soils with organic amendments to enhance water retention and microbial competitiveness. Incorporating biocontrol agents such as *Trichoderma* harzianum and Pseudomonas fluorescens into nursery and main field soils to counteract *T. paradoxa* via antagonism and mycoparasitism (Kandan *et al.*, 2010).

## **Disease Symptoms and Progression**

Stem bleeding disease of coconut, primarily caused by T. paradoxa, presents as a chronic trunk and vascular infection that significantly reduces palm health and yield in tropical regions. Initially reported in countries like India, Sri Lanka, the Philippines, Indonesia, and parts of East Africa and the Pacific Islands, the disease is increasingly recognized for its gradual but severe impact (Flood et al., 2000; Pilotti, 2005). Early symptoms include dark brown to reddish-brown gummy exudates near the trunk base, resulting from vascular colonization and necrosis by the pathogen (Nampoothiri et al., 2003). As the disease progresses, bark tissue deteriorates, forming sunken lesions and cracks (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015). Secondary effects include leaf yellowing, frond drooping, premature nut fall, and crown thinning due to disrupted water and nutrient transport (Mohandas et al., 2012; Rajendran et al., 2003). In severe cases, unopened spindle leaves and palm death occur (Perera et al., 2010). Disease progression is slow, often taking years to manifest fully, complicating early detection. Stress conditions such as drought, waterlogging, and mechanical or pest-induced wounds exacerbate susceptibility, highlighting the importance of proactive monitoring and early intervention (Flood et al., 2000; Nair & Rajendran, 2010).

## Disease Physiology and Host-Pathogen Biochemical Interactions

#### **Entry and Colonization**

Stem bleeding disease in coconut, caused by *T. paradoxa*, initiates when the pathogen invades through wounds or natural fissures near the collar region, often resulting from mechanical damage, insect boring, or root pruning in compacted or poorly drained soils (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015). As a facultative saprophyte, it persists in soil and plant debris as chlamydospores or mycelium under humid, waterlogged conditions (Flood *et al.*, 2000; Nampoothiri *et al.*, 2003). Upon infection, the pathogen colonizes cortical and

vascular tissues, releasing cell wall-degrading enzymes such as cellulases, hemicellulases, and pectinases, thereby impairing translocation and triggering the characteristic dark reddish-brown bleeding from basal stem cracks (Mohandas et al., 2012; Rajendran et al., 2003). Oxalic acid secretion further intensifies tissue damage by acidifying cells and enhancing enzyme activity, while host responses like phenolic production and PR proteins are often insufficient under environmental stress (Saharan et al., 2018; Nair & Rajendran, 2010; Mohandas et al., 2012). The disease progresses insidiously, with visible symptoms such as bleeding patches, foliar yellowing, nut fall, and canopy thinning manifesting only after extensive internal damage, eventually leading to chronic decline or palm death if unmanaged (Perera et al., 2010; Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015).

## Enzymes produced by Thielaviopsis paradoxa

The pathogenicity of *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, the causal agent of stem bleeding disease in coconut, is primarily associated with its production of cell walldegrading enzymes that facilitate tissue colonization and disease progression. T. paradoxa is an ascomycete that causes necrosis and decay through enzymatic breakdown and metabolic interference (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015; Mohandas et al., 2012). Upon infection, it secretes hydrolytic enzymes such as cellulases, hemicellulases, pectinases, and â-glucosidases, which degrade cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin in the stem, leading to cortical and vascular collapse (Rajendran et al., 2003; Mohandas et al., 2012). Polygalacturonases and xylanases further degrade pectic and xylan components, contributing to bleeding lesions, leaf chlorosis, nut fall, and canopy thinning (Nampoothiri et al., 2003; Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015). Oxalic acid, a key virulence factor, acidifies the infection site, chelates calcium, and enhances enzymatic activity while suppressing host defenses (Saharan et al., 2018). Although coconut palms produce phenolics, peroxidases, and pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins as defense responses, these are often inadequate against the pathogen's enzymatic arsenal (Nair & Rajendran, 2010; Mohandas et al., 2012). Histopathological studies reveal widespread necrosis and vascular blockage, with visible symptoms manifesting only during advanced stages of infection (Perera et al., 2010; Rajendran et al., 2003).

## Impact on water and nutrient transport in disease affected coconut palms

A major physiological consequence of stem bleeding disease in coconut, caused by *Thielaviopsis paradoxa*, is the disruption of water and nutrient transport due to

enzymatic degradation of xylem vessels by cellulases, pectinases, and xylanases, leading to vessel blockage and reduced hydraulic conductivity (Rajendran et al., 2003; Mohandas et al., 2012; Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015). Histological studies reveal cortical disintegration and vascular necrosis with occluded xylem, impairing water and mineral flow and resulting in symptoms like leaf yellowing, frond drooping, and nut fall (Mohandas et al., 2012; Nampoothiri et al., 2003). Nutrient uptake, particularly of nitrogen, potassium, and magnesium, declines due to fine root damage and blocked vascular pathways, while oxalic acid secretion disrupts pH and ion transport, compounding physiological stress and systemic decline (Nair & Rajendran, 2010; Saharan et al., 2018).

## Lignification and callose deposition: host responses in disease infected coconut palms

In response to T. paradoxa infection, coconut palms initiate structural defenses like lignification and callose deposition to contain stem bleeding disease; lignin fortifies cell walls via upregulated PAL, peroxidases, and laccases, while callose blocks hyphal spread through sieve plates and plasmodesmata (Mohandas et al., 2012; Nambiar & Mohandas, 2015). These barriers limit pathogen enzymes cellulases, pectinases, and oxalic acid from causing extensive tissue damage (Rajendran et al., 2003; Saharan et al., 2018). However, in later stages, the pathogen's enzymatic aggression overwhelms host defenses, enabling systemic colonization and palm decline (Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015).

#### **Responses: Antioxidant** Host Enzyme **Production (Peroxidase, Catalase)**

Coconut palms infected by T. paradoxa activate antioxidant enzymes like peroxidase (POX) and catalase (CAT) to counteract oxidative stress from elevated reactive oxygen species (ROS), especially H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, generated during stem bleeding disease progression (Mohandas et al., 2012; Nambiar & Mohandas, 2015). POX aids both in ROS detoxification and lignin biosynthesis for cell wall reinforcement (Saharan et al., 2018), while CAT converts H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to water and oxygen, minimizing tissue damage (Nair & Rajendran, 2010). Higher POX and CAT levels in moderately resistant varieties indicate their role in tolerance, but in advanced stages, excessive ROS, fungal toxins, and enzymatic action overwhelm defenses, causing vascular collapse and palm death (Flood et al., 2000; Sankaralingam & Sundararaju, 2015).

## Role of phenolics and secondary metabolites in stem bleeding affected coconut palms

In T. paradoxa-infected coconut palms, increased biosynthesis of phenolic compounds and secondary metabolites such as flavonoids, tannins, and lignin precursors contributes to antifungal activity and cell wall reinforcement via lignification (Mohandas et al., 2012; Nambiar & Mohandas, 2015). These defenses, activated by PAMPs and regulated through salicylic and jasmonic acid pathways, disrupt fungal membranes, inhibit enzymes, and trigger hypersensitive responses (Flood et al., 2000; Saharan et al., 2018). Resistant varieties show higher accumulation of phenolics and phytoalexins, correlating with reduced disease severity (Srinivasan et al., 2011; Nair & Rajendran, 2010). However, the pathogen counters by producing detoxifying enzymes like laccases and peroxidases, facilitating systemic colonization (Sankaran et al., 2003; Saharan et al., 2018).

### Host-Pathogen Molecular Interactions

The molecular interaction between T. paradoxa and coconut during stem bleeding disease involves a defenseoffense dynamic, where pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) like chitin and β-glucans trigger PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) via PRRs, activating MAPK cascades, ROS bursts, and defense gene expression (Boller & Felix, 2009; Nambiar & Mohandas, 2015). This induces lignification, callose deposition, and phenolic production (Saharan et al., 2018; Rajendran & Nair, 2010). In response, T. paradoxa releases effectors and CWDEs to suppress immunity, causing effectortriggered susceptibility (Paterson, 2007; Sankaran et al., 2003; Nandini et al., 2020). The host counters with transcription factors like WRKY, MYB, and NAC to activate systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and defense priming (Mohandas et al., 2012; Srinivasan et al., 2011). Tolerant genotypes show stronger expression of defenserelated QTLs, aiding resistance breeding and diagnostics (Jayasekhar et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2012).

## Damage Repair and Host-Pathogen Interaction Mechanisms

#### Host-pathogen interface and initial infection

In *T. paradoxa*-induced stem bleeding disease, infection typically initiates through wounds or root contacts, enabling the pathogen to invade host tissues. The fungus secretes a suite of cell wall-degrading enzymes, including laccases, manganese peroxidases, and cellulases, which break down lignin and cellulose, facilitating penetration and colonization of vascular and cortical tissues (Rees *et al.*, 2009; Sundararaj *et al.*, 2012). In coconut, a monocotyledonous plant, the vascular bundles are scattered and lack secondary thickening, unlike dicots. This unique anatomical trait hampers effective

compartmentalization of infection, making it more susceptible to rapid systemic spread once the vascular integrity is compromised (Esau, 1965). Consequently, coconut's limited structural defense framework at the initial infection site poses a significant challenge in restricting *T. paradoxa* progression.

# Damage and defense perception in coconut against stem bleeding infection

In coconut palms infected by *T. paradoxa*, the causal agent of stem bleeding disease, host tissues perceive the attack through recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). This perception activates PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI), which launches early defense responses including reactive oxygen species (ROS) bursts, cytosolic calcium influx, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, and the induction of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins, aimed at restricting pathogen spread (Boller & Felix, 2009).

## Multilayered defense and repair mechanisms in a monocot host

Although coconut, as a monocot, lacks secondary growth, it activates a well-coordinated and multi-layered defense system in response to *T. paradoxa*, the causal agent of stem bleeding disease:

## Lignification and Suberization

One of the earliest structural defenses is the reinforcement of cell walls near the infection site through deposition of lignin and suberin, forming a barrier that restricts fungal progression. This is mediated by the upregulation of key genes in the phenylpropanoid pathway, including PAL (phenylalanine ammonia lyase), C4H (cinnamate 4-hydroxylase), and 4CL (4-coumarate-CoA ligase), which are essential for lignin biosynthesis (Dixon et al., 2002; Nandini et al., 2020). These structural reinforcements strengthen the cell wall matrix, thereby limiting tissue maceration and fungal colonization during the initial phase of *T. paradoxa* infection. This response, despite the absence of secondary thickening in coconut stems, serves as an effective compensatory defense mechanism, particularly critical in managing vascularlimited diseases such as stem bleeding.

#### Antioxidant enzyme activity

Infection by *T. paradoxa* induces oxidative stress in coconut tissues, leading to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). As a countermeasure, the palm enhances the activity of key antioxidant enzymes including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and peroxidases (POX). These enzymes play a crucial role

in detoxifying ROS, thereby minimizing oxidative damage to cellular components and maintaining tissue viability under pathogen pressure (Govindarajulu et al., 2020).

### Phenolic and phytoalexin accumulation:

A marked accumulation of phenolic compounds and phytoalexins is observed in infected zones, forming part of the coconut's localized chemical defense. These secondary metabolites not only exhibit antimicrobial activity but also reinforce surrounding cell walls, creating a protective boundary around necrotic lesions and restricting further fungal invasion (Sivakumar et al., 2014).

#### **Hormonal Crosstalk and Signal Modulation**

Defense signaling in coconut during T. paradoxa infection involves dynamic hormonal interplay. Salicylic acid (SA) signaling is typically activated during the early stages to mediate biotrophic defense, while jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) pathways dominate later necrotrophic phases. These hormone-driven cascades regulate defense gene expression, activating broader responses such as systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and induced systemic resistance (ISR) to enhance longterm immunity (Glazebrook, 2005; Pieterse et al., 2012).e. Tissue Compartmentalization and Necrotic Barrier Formation: Although monocotyledons lack a vascular cambium, coconut roots and basal stem tissues respond by forming necrotic zones, depositing callose, producing tyloses in xylem vessels, and physically compartmentalizing infected areas to localize pathogen spread (Mohandas et al., 2010).

Regenerative and structural adaptations: In response to Thielaviopsis paradoxa-induced stem bleeding, coconut palms initiate localized regenerative responses despite the absence of a vascular cambium. Wound repair is supported by meristematic reactivation and cellular dedifferentiation, particularly near the infection site, enabling limited structural renewal. This regenerative plasticity compensates for the palm's anatomical limitations as a monocot (Heath, 2000). Notably, under sub-lethal infections, coconut seedlings often exhibit enhanced tillering and activation of axillary buds, contributing to the restoration of canopy structure and root biomass. This adaptive growth response appears to be a survival mechanism aimed at offsetting tissue loss and maintaining physiological function during prolonged pathogen stress (Snehalatharani et al., 2016).

Microbial symbiosis and induced resistance: Beneficial microbes play a pivotal role in enhancing coconut palm resistance against stem bleeding caused by Thielaviopsis paradoxa. Biocontrol agents such as

Trichoderma spp., Pseudomonas fluorescens, and Bacillus subtilis have been shown to prime the plant's immune system, leading to enhanced deposition of lignin, increased expression of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins, and activation of induced systemic resistance (ISR) pathways (Jayaraj et al., 2005). This microbialinduced priming fosters a "defense memory" in the host, enabling a quicker and stronger response upon subsequent pathogen attacks (Rajeela et al., 2017). The interaction between T. paradoxa and coconut represents a molecular tug-of-war involving pathogen-derived enzymes and toxins versus host-mediated multilayered defenses. While the monocot anatomy of coconut limits certain structural adaptations like secondary thickening, the palm compensates through robust biochemical defenses, hormonal signaling (SA, JA, ET), and strategic alliances with beneficial microbes.

## Nonconventional Disease Management

## **Enzyme-based treatments**

Recent innovations in enzyme-based disease control offer an eco-compatible strategy for managing stem bleeding disease in coconut caused by Thielaviopsis paradoxa. Field applications of lignin-modifying enzymes (laccases, manganese peroxidases) and hydrolytic enzymes (chitinases,  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanases) have been effective in disrupting fungal cell walls and reducing virulence (Saharan et al., 2018; Harman et al., 2004; Nandini et al., 2020). When combined with organic amendments, these treatments enhance rhizosphere microbial diversity and nutrient cycling, suppressing pathogen inoculum density (Thomas et al., 2012). Enzyme treatments also act as elicitors, activating host antioxidant enzymes such as peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Sharma et al., 2012; Srinivasan et al., 2011). They upregulate defense genes including WRKY and MYB transcription factors and enzymes of the phenylpropanoid pathway (PAL, C4H, 4CL), leading to lignin deposition, phenolic accumulation, and systemic acquired resistance (Mohandas et al., 2012; Rajendran & Nair, 2010). Integration with endophytic biocontrol agents like Trichoderma spp. and Bacillus subtilis further enhances disease suppression by reinforcing structural and biochemical defenses (Sankaran et al., 2003; Jayasekhar et al., 2018). This synergistic approach presents a sustainable model for managing stem bleeding in coconut.

## Nanotechnology in disease suppression

Nanotechnology presents a promising, targeted, and eco-compatible strategy for the management of stem bleeding disease in coconut caused by Thielaviopsis

paradoxa. Recent advancements highlight its role in enhancing fungicide efficiency, minimizing environmental impact, and improving pathogen control efficacy.

### Nanocarrier-based fungicide delivery:

Chitosan-based nanoparticles encapsulating systemic fungicides like hexaconazole allow for sustained release, improved uptake, and significantly lower  $EC_{50}$  values against T. paradoxa, reducing the need for repeated applications (Hafizi *et al.*, 2023; Thomas *et al.*, 2021). These formulations minimize off-target effects and prolong field effectiveness.

## Green-synthesized silver nanoparticles (AGNPS):

AgNPs derived from coconut leaf extracts exhibit potent antifungal activity with minimal phytotoxicity. Their small size enables direct interaction with fungal membranes, causing cell wall rupture and hyphal deformation, making them effective against T. paradoxa (Mardani *et al.*, 2022; Premanathan *et al.*, 2023).

## **Metal Oxide Nanoparticles:**

Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) and silica nanoparticles act via ROS-mediated oxidative stress, disrupting fungal hyphae and impairing cell metabolism. These particles have shown promising antifungal activity *in vitro* and are being explored for soil and foliar applications (Rashid *et al.*, 2021; Ahmad *et al.*, 2020).

#### **Azole-loaded nanoformulations:**

Nanoencapsulation of azole fungicides such as propiconazole significantly enhances their bioavailability and stability, achieving up to 75% disease suppression in controlled trials. This approach is effective in limiting the progression of stem lesions and bleeding symptoms (Dhasarathan *et al.*, 2024).

#### Nanoenzyme delivery systems:

Nanoparticle-assisted delivery of chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase enzymes improves their stability, penetration, and activity at the infection site, leading to enhanced degradation of T. paradoxa cell walls and virulence inhibition. This represents a new frontier in bionanocomposite formulations.

## Non-Conventional Fungicidal Interventions in the Management of Stem Bleeding Disease in Coconut

Stem bleeding disease of coconut, incited by *T. paradoxa*, is a chronic and economically significant threat in tropical coconut plantations. While conventional fungicides offer temporary relief, increasing concerns over fungicide resistance, environmental toxicity, and low systemic efficacy have prompted the exploration of eco-

friendly, non-conventional strategies.

# **Chitinase and Glucanase-Based Enzymatic Formulations**

Hydrolytic enzymes such as chitinases and β-1,3-glucanases effectively degrade fungal cell wall polymers, directly impairing the growth of *T. paradoxa*. Enzyme-producing microbes like *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* exhibit strong antagonistic activity under field conditions, reducing stem lesion progression and pathogen colonization (Thomas *et al.*, 2021; Kavino *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, elevated expression of endogenous chitinase/glucanase genes in tolerant coconut genotypes suggests their crucial role in systemic resistance (Ravindra *et al.*, 2019). Integration of such enzyme-based microbial consortia with organic substrates improves rhizospheric microbial diversity and disease suppressiveness.

### **Siderophore-Producing Microbes**

Siderophores chelate iron, a micronutrient vital for fungal metabolism, effectively depriving *T. paradoxa* of essential growth factors. Strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *P. putida*, and *Bacillus subtilis* have demonstrated the ability to inhibit fungal proliferation and simultaneously induce systemic resistance in coconut seedlings by activating defense-related enzymes like peroxidase and phenylalanine ammonia lyase (Kavino *et al.*, 2010; Nandini *et al.*, 2014; Thomas *et al.*, 2021). Coapplication with *Trichoderma harzianum* further enhances root zone protection and overall seedling vigor (Kumar *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, siderophore-mediated enhancement of soil nutrient dynamics contributes to sustained disease suppression (Arivalagan & Thomas, 2020).

# Role of Phenolic and Organic Acids in Disease Suppression

Phenolic and organic acids constitute important components of coconut's biochemical defense arsenal against *T. paradoxa*. These molecules contribute to antifungal activity, modulation of soil microbiota, and activation of host immune responses.

#### Phenolic acids as defense molecules

Phenolic acids including ferulic, caffeic, and salicylic acids inhibit *T. paradoxa* through direct antimicrobial effects and enhancement of lignin biosynthesis. Treatments involving beneficial microbes have been shown to elevate these phenolic compounds in infected roots, thereby reinforcing both chemical and structural defenses (Thomas *et al.*, 2021). Salicylic acid, in particular, plays a pivotal role in triggering systemic

acquired resistance (SAR) by upregulating PR-protein expression and antioxidant enzymes such as SOD and CAT in pathogen-challenged tissues (Kavino et al., 2020).

#### Organic acids and their suppressive role

Organic acids such as acetic, oxalic, and citric acids act synergistically with phenolics to impair pathogen growth and facilitate beneficial microbial colonization. Acetic acid disrupts fungal respiration and membrane integrity (Vargas et al., 2020), while oxalic and citric acids induce oxidative stress in fungal cells and modulate rhizosphere conditions in favor of antagonistic microbes (Teka et al., 2019; Arivalagan & Thomas, 2020). When combined with microbial inoculants, these acids enhance host resilience and suppress T. paradoxa colonization effectively.

## Next-Generation Fungicidal Strategies against T. paradoxa

### Strobilurin based fungicides

Strobilurin fungicides such as azoxystrobin, derived from natural metabolites of wood-decay fungi like Strobilurus tenacellus, are gaining traction for their potential to manage vascular wilt pathogens including T. paradoxa. These Quinone outside Inhibitors (QoIs) act by disrupting mitochondrial respiration at the cytochrome bc, complex (Complex III), specifically at the quinol oxidation (Qo) site, leading to ATP depletion and fungal cell death (Nandini et al., 2020). Though initially fungistatic, strobilurins exhibit fungicidal activity at higher concentrations and have shown inhibitory effects on mycelial growth and spore germination of T. paradoxa in vitro, with minimal adverse effects on beneficial soil microbes due to their mitochondrial specificity (Ahmad et al., 2020).

## Biogenic and Natural-Origin Fungicidal Molecules

The limitations of synthetic fungicides have driven the adoption of bio-derived antifungal compounds with multi-target action. Secondary metabolites such as harzianic acid produced by Trichoderma spp. exhibit strong antagonism against T. paradoxa through membrane disruption and competitive nutrient acquisition while enhancing root colonization by plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (Mukherjee et al., 2020). Similarly, essential oil components like thymol and eucalyptol destabilize fungal membranes, causing cytoplasmic leakage, and are recognized for their biodegradability and non-disruptive effect on rhizospheric microbial diversity (Saripalli et al., 2021).

#### **Chitosan-based Antifungals**

Chitosan, a biodegradable polysaccharide from crustacean exoskeletons, demonstrates dual activity by directly inhibiting fungal growth and priming host plant defense responses. It forms a protective barrier on plant tissues and stimulates systemic acquired resistance (SAR), making it effective against *T. paradoxa* infections in coconut (Badawy & Rabea, 2011). Oligochitosan formulations, with reduced molecular weight, have shown superior efficacy in reducing lesion development and enhancing rhizosphere health by promoting beneficial microbial populations (Liu et al., 2020).

#### Lipopeptides from beneficial bacteria

Cyclic lipopeptides such as iturin, surfactin, and fengycin synthesized by Bacillus subtilis integrate into fungal membranes, causing pore formation, ion imbalance, and eventual cytoplasmic leakage. Their antifungal action has been validated against T. paradoxa in both in vitro and pot trials, with no observed suppression of indigenous beneficial microbes (Zhang et al., 2021; Caulier et al., 2019).

## RNA based biopesticides

RNA interference (RNAi)-based technologies present a cutting-edge solution for managing T. paradoxa with remarkable specificity. Sprayable double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) targeting vital pathogenicity genes such as EndoPG (endopolygalacturonase) and ThPox1 (peroxidase) have shown promising reductions in fungal growth and vascular colonization in preliminary assays (Mitter et al., 2017; Koch et al., 2016). These dsRNA formulations are compatible with foliar and soil applications and have no detectable effects on non-target organisms. Furthermore, Host-Induced Gene Silencing (HIGS)—where transgenic coconut expresses dsRNA against T. paradoxa virulence genes—holds potential for long-term resistance, though its use is pending regulatory evaluation (Qi et al., 2021).

## **Acknowledgment**

I sincerely thank the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor of Dr. YSR Horticultural University for his constant support and visionary guidance, which laid the foundation for this work. I am also grateful to the Associate Director of Research, RHRS, Kovvur and Director of Research, Dr. YSRHU, Venkataramannagudem, for encouraging me and allowing the academic freedom to study this important soil-borne fungal disease of coconut. My heartfelt thanks to the Project Coordinator, ICAR-AICRP on Palms, Kasaragod, for the opportunity to work in the field of coconut disease management. I deeply appreciate the support and valuable suggestions of the Principal Scientist and Head, Dr. YSRHU–HRS, Ambajipeta, whose encouragement greatly contributed to the completion of this review.

#### References

- Abdullah, F., Nawawi A. and Van Rensburg L.D. (2017). Influence of soil water stress on fungal virulence. *Journal of Agricultural Mycology*, **13(1)**, 59–67.
- Abdullah, S.K., Al-Sa'adi A.M. and Al-Mahmooli I.H. (2017). Fungal cell wall-degrading enzymes and their role in pathogenicity. *Mycopathologia*, **182(3)**, 239–256.
- Ahmad, T., Yasmeen F., Zia-ur-Rehman M. and Ahmed, S. (2020). Titanium dioxide nanoparticles for sustainable agriculture and plant disease management. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, **18**(3), 1005–1022.
- Anith, K.N. and Nandini C. (2020). Stem bleeding disease of coconut Epidemiology and integrated management. *Journal of Plantation Crops*, **48**(1), 44–52.
- AP Horticulture Department. (2023). Coconut Crop Performance and GVO Trends. Government of Andhra Pradesh.
- Arivalagan, M. and Thomas G.V. (2020). Role of rhizosphere microbiome in managing soilborne diseases in plantation crops. *Current Trends in Microbiology*, **15**(2), 101–110.
- Badawy, M.E.I. and Rabea E.I. (2011). A biopolymer chitosan and its derivatives as promising antimicrobial agents against plant pathogens. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, **83**(3), 1115–1120.
- Barnes, I., Roux J., Wingfield B.D., Dudzinski M.J., Old K.M. and Wingfield M.J. (2005). Ceratocystis pirilliformis, a new species from Eucalyptus in Australia. *Mycologia*, **97(4)**, 918-925.
- Boller, T. and Felix G. (2009). A renaissance of elicitors: Perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, **60**, 379–406.
- Caulier, S., Gillis A., Colaianni M.L., Licciardello G and Ongena M. (2019). Versatile antagonistic mechanisms and plant interactions of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens and Bacillus subtilis. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 11(2), 183–194.
- Coconut Development Board (CDB) (2023). Annual Report 2022–23. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India.
- Coconut Development Board (CDB) (2024). Production and Productivity Statistics India and States (2023–24).
- Dhasarathan, P., Anitha M., Suresh R. and Karthikeyan K. (2024). Azole-loaded nanofungicides for improved disease management in plantation crops. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, **64(1)**, 15–23.
- Dixon, R.A., Achnine L., Kota P., Liu C.J., Reddy M.S.S. and Wang L. (2002). The phenylpropanoid pathway and plant defence a genomics perspective. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, **3(5)**, 371–390.

- Esau, K. (1965). Plant Anatomy (2nd ed.). Wiley Eastern Ltd.
- FAO (2023). FAOSTAT: Crops and livestock products. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Flood, J., Bridge P.D. and Holderness M. (2000). *Ceratocystis paradoxa (Thielaviopsis paradoxa)*. In: Ploetz, R. C. (Ed.), Diseases of Tropical Fruit Crops (163–169). CABI Publishing.
- Gaitán, A., Mejía L. and Rojas E. (2021). Endophytic fungal consortia in disease-suppressive coconut palms in Colombia. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **31(6)**, 597–610.
- Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **43**, 205–227.
- Government of Andhra Pradesh (2024). Horticulture Statistics at a Glance 2023. Directorate of Horticulture, Andhra Pradesh.
- Govindarajulu, M., Elmer W.H. and D'Angelo J. (2020). Transcriptomic profiling of Ceratocystis fimbriata during plant tissue colonization. *Fungal Biology*, **124(10)**, 830–840
- Gunathilake, K.D.A.A., Fernando W.G.D. and Wijesundera R.L.C. (2022). Disease suppression and soil microbiome shifts in coconut plantations with organic soil management. *Plant Disease*, **106(4)**, 1225–1233.
- Hafizi, R., Asghar W., Abdul Rahman N.A. and Ahmad N. (2023). Sustained-release chitosan nanoparticle delivery of hexaconazole for managing vascular fungal pathogens. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 246, 125658.
- Harman, GE., Howell C.R., Viterbo A., Chet I. and Lorito, M. (2004). *Trichoderma* species—opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **2**(1), 43–56.
- Harrington, T.C. (2000). Host specificity and reproductive isolation of Ceratocystis species. *Integ. Biol. Plant Pathol.*, **6**, 247–258.
- Isaac, S., Nandini S. and Rajagopal K. (2015). Morphological and cultural characterization of *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* causing stem bleeding of coconut. *Indian Phytopathology*, **68(2)**, 199–202.
- Jayaraj, J., Muthukrishnan S. and Velazhahan R. (2005). Development of a formulation of Pseudomonas fluorescens for the management of sheath blight disease and bacterial leaf blight in rice. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **38(1)**, 23–30.
- Jayaraj, J., Radhakrishnan N.V. and Velazhahan R. (2005). Development of formulations of Pseudomonas fluorescens for the management of sheath blight disease in rice. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **38(1)**, 19–30.
- Jayasekhar, M., Nair P.M. and Sheela M.N. (2018). Breeding for disease resistance in coconut. In Coconut Research for Development in India (216–229). CPCRI.
- Jayasekhar, M., Vinayaka K.S. and Sudha M. (2018). Role of

- endophytic bacteria and fungi in sustainable coconut health management. Plant Disease Research, 33(1), 73-
- Jayaweera, G., Herath H.M.W.A. and Wickramasinghe M. (2023). Developing a climate-smart early warning system for coconut stem bleeding disease in Sri Lanka. Agricultural Systems, 205, 103578.
- Kandan, A., Radjacommare R. and Ramanathan A. (2010). Role of microbial antagonists in coconut soil health management. Journal of Plantation Crops, 38(1), 54-
- Kavino, M., Kumar N., Kumar A. and Upreti K.K. (2020). Role of rhizobacteria and secondary metabolites in inducing systemic resistance in coconut. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 53(13-14), 695-
- Koch, A., Biedenkopf D., Furch A.C.U., Weber L., Rossbach O., Abdellatef E. and Kogel K.H. (2016). An RNAi-based control of Fusarium head blight. Nature Biotechnology, **34(4)**, 383–386.
- Kumar, A., Kumutha K. and Kavino M. (2015). Synergistic biocontrol of Thielaviopsis paradoxa using microbial consortia. Indian Phytopathology, 68(1), 78-84.
- Kumar, S.R., Nair C.P.R. and Ramachandran P. (2012). Epidemiological insights on stem bleeding of coconut. Indian Coconut Journal, 55(6), 17-20.
- Liu, J., Meng X., Xu Q. and Wang Y. (2020). Improved antifungal and plant defense-inducing activity of oligochitosan against plant pathogens. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 146, 179–186.
- Mardani, M., Farzaneh M. and Barani M. (2022). Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using coconut extracts and their antifungal efficacy. Journal of Nanobiotechnology, 20(1), 112.
- Mitter, N., Worrall E.A., Robinson K.E., Li P., Jain R.G., Taochy C. and Xu Z.P. (2017). Clay nanosheets for topical delivery of RNAi for sustained protection against plant viruses. *Nature Plants*, **3**, 16207.
- Mohandas, C., Manoharachary C. and Rajeev B. (2012). Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and induced resistance in coconut: A molecular perspective. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 79, 13–20.
- Mohandas, C., Nambiar V.V. and Gopal M. (2012). Defense mechanisms in coconut palms against stem bleeding pathogen, Ceratocystis paradoxa. Indian Coconut Journal, 75(3), 10-14.
- Mohandas, C., Sarma Y.R. and Anith K.N. (2012). Etiology and integrated management of stem bleeding in coconut. Journal of Plantation Crops, 40(2), 96-101.
- Mohandas, C., Vinod K.K. and Baby U.I. (2012). Diseases of coconut and their management. Journal of Plantation Crops, 40(2), 151-166.
- Mukherjee, P.K., Mehetre S.T., Sherkhane P.D. and Babu S. (2020). Trichoderma metabolites in plant disease management. In Gupta, V.K., Zeilinger S., Singh H.B. and

- Upadhyay R.S. (Eds.), Biocontrol Agents and Secondary Metabolites (175–198). Woodhead Publishing.
- Nair, M.A. and Rajendran P. (2010). Current status of stem bleeding in coconut and its management. Coconut Research and Development, 26(1), 14-20.
- Nambiar, V.S. and Mohandas C. (2015). Structural and biochemical defense responses in coconut varieties against stem bleeding disease. Journal of Plantation Crops, 43(1), 63-69.
- Nambiar, V.V. and Mohandas C. (2015). Coconut stem bleeding: Emerging insights into host defense and management. Indian Coconut Journal, 78(5), 24–28.
- Nampoothiri, C.K.G., Mohandas C. and Anith K.N. (2003). Evaluation of coconut varieties for resistance to stem bleeding disease. Indian Coconut Journal, 34(6), 9-12.
- Nandini, C., Anith K.N. and Prema R. (2020). Identification and pathogenic variability of Thielaviopsis paradoxa isolates in coconut. Indian Phytopathology, 73(1), 78-
- Nandini, C., Rajeev B. and Ramesh S. (2020). Lignification as a defense strategy in coconut against stem bleeding disease. Indian Phytopathology, 73(3), 457-464.
- Nandini, K.S., Kumar A., Kavino M. and Upreti K.K. (2014). Characterization of siderophore-producing rhizobacteria in disease suppression. Microbiological Research, **169(3–4)**, 307–315.
- Nandini, K.S., Kumar A., Kavino M. and Upreti K.K. (2020). Characterization of siderophore-producing rhizobacteria in disease suppression. Microbiological Research, **169(3–4)**, 307–315.
- Nandini, K.E., Sudha M. and Reddy M.S. (2020). Host defense induction and disease suppression in coconut using enzyme-based formulations. Journal of Plantation *Crops*, **48(3)**, 174–181.
- Nandini, M., Sheela M.N., Jayasekhar M. and Nair P.M. (2020). Recent advances in understanding coconut-pathogen interactions. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 9(1), 1245–1256.
- Nandini, S., Gopal K. and Rajagopal K. (2020). Molecular detection of Ceratocystis paradoxa causing stem bleeding in coconut using ITS, β-tubulin and TEF1-α markers. Journal of Plantation Crops, 48(3), 217-222.
- Nelson, P.E. (2008). Fungal pathogens of plants and animals. Springer.
- Nelson, P.E. (2008). Fungal Pathogens of Plants and Animals. Springer, New York, NY.
- ovindarajulu, M., Ramanathan A. and Saravanan T. (2020). Antioxidative enzyme dynamics in coconut varieties resistant and susceptible to stem bleeding disease. Journal of Plantation Crops, 48(1), 45-52.
- Paterson, R.R.M. (2007). Ganoderma disease of oil palm—A white rot perspective necessary for integrated control. Crop Protection, 26(9), 1369–1376.
- Paul, B., Thomas R.J. and Mathew J. (2020). Fungal diseases

- of coconut palms: Distribution, taxonomy and management strategies. Journal of Plantation Crops, **48(1)**, 52–60.
- Perera, L., Jayasekara C. and Fernando S. (2010). Coconut research and development in Sri Lanka. Coconut Research Institute of Sri Lanka, Lunuwila.
- Pieterse, C.M.J., Van der Does D., Zamioudis C., Leon-Reyes A. and Van Wees S.C.M. (2012). Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, **28**, 489–521.
- Pilotti, C.A. (2005). Stem rots of oil palm caused by Ganoderma boninense: Pathogen biology and epidemiology. *Mycopathologia*, **159(1)**, 129–137.
- Premanathan, M., Prabhakaran P. and Venkatesh R. (2023). Biogenic AgNPs using Ganoderma lucidum: Antimicrobial properties and safety profiling. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, **71**, 832–838.
- Purnamasari, I., Rimbawanto A., Tarigan M. and Widiyatno H. (2021). Detection of Ceratocystis fimbriata in Acacia using qPCR and LAMP methods. *Biodiversitas*, **22**(**5**), 2554–2561.
- Qi, T., Guo J., Peng H., Liu P., Kang Z. and Guo J. (2021). Host-induced gene silencing: A powerful strategy to control diseases of wheat and barley. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **22(7)**, 3493.
- Rajeela, K.R., Rajamani T. and Nair M.C. (2017). Bioefficacy of endophytic bacteria and fungi for stem bleeding disease management in coconut. *Journal of Biological Control*, **31(3)**, 158–163.
- Rajeev, V., Joseph Rajkumar A. and Ramesh K. (2018). Prevalence of stem bleeding disease in coconut and its correlation with edaphic factors. *Indian Coconut Journal*, **60(3)**, 12–16.
- Rajendran, G and Nair M.C. (2010). Gene expression studies in coconut under stem bleeding stress. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, **48(1–2)**, 35–41.
- Rajendran, P., Mohankumar V. and Nair C.P.R. (2003). *Integrated management of stem bleeding disease of coconut.*Journal of Plantation Crops, 31(3), 5–9.
- Rajendran, P., Nampoothiri C.K.G. and Mohandas C. (2003). Management of stem bleeding disease of coconut. *Indian Coconut Journal*, **33(8)**, 8–10.
- Rao, G., Joseph A. and Lekshmi K.V. (2025). Soil-pathogen interactions influencing *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* infectivity in coconut. *Journal of Tropical Plant Pathology*, **41(1)**, 45–53.
- Rashid, M.I., Mujtaba T. and Mehmood A. (2021). Antifungal potential of metal oxide nanoparticles against phytopathogens. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, **157**, 104964.
- Ravindra, C., Kavino M., Kumar A. and Rajamani T. (2019). Molecular profiling of defense genes in coconut cultivars under *Thielaviopsis paradoxa* stress. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, **34(3)**, 90–96.
- Rees, R.W., Flood J., Hasan Y., Cooper R.M. and Potter U. (2012). Physiological stress predisposes oil palm to

- Ganoderma infection. *Plant Pathology*, **61**(**6**), 1311–1321.
- Saad, A., Iqbal J. and Khan N. (2022). Artificial intelligenceassisted UAVs for plant disease detection: A review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, **199**, 107126.
- Saharan, B.S., Nehra V. and Choudhary R.C. (2018). Role of fungal laccases and oxalic acid in pathogenesis and biocontrol. *Mycology Journal*, **9(2)**, 105–118.
- Saharan, B.S., Nehra V., Choudhary M. and Dutt S. (2018). Plant-microbe interactions: Role of microbial metabolites in disease suppression and plant growth promotion. In New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering (207–226). Elsevier.
- Saharan, M.S., Naresh S. and Jat S.L. (2018). Fungal phytotoxins and their role in pathogenesis. In: Meena,
  V. S., et al. (Eds.), Advances in Plant Microbiome and Sustainable Agriculture (181–195). Springer.
- Sankaralingam, A. and Sundararaju P. (2015). Epidemiological factors associated with stem bleeding disease of coconut in Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Mycopathological Research*, **53(2)**, 231–237.
- Sankaralingam, A. and Sundararaju P. (2015). Management of stem bleeding disease of coconut. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, **33(4)**, 2925–2930.
- Sankaralingam, A. and Sundararaju P. (2015). Stem bleeding disease of coconut: Symptomatology and management strategies. Indian Coconut Journal, 78(4), 18–21.
- Sankaran, K.V., Sharma J.K. and Florence E.J.M. (2003). Occurrence and management of major coconut diseases. *Indian Coconut Journal*, **34(9)**, 4–10.
- Saripalli, G., Kishor P.B.K. and Reddy P.S. (2021). Biocompatibility and antifungal potential of essential oil constituents against plant pathogenic fungi. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, **61(4)**, 388–396.
- Sharma, P., Jha A.B. and Dubey R.S. (2012). Oxidative stress and antioxidative defense system in plants growing under abiotic stresses. *Plant Stress*, **6(2)**, 24–32.
- Sharma, P., Singh A. and Singh H.B. (2012). Molecular approaches in plant disease resistance. *Journal of Plant Pathology & Microbiology*, **3(6)**, 1000139.
- Sivakumar, M., Rajendran P. and Nair C.P.R. (2014). Induction of phenolics and phytoalexins in coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) palms infected with stem bleeding pathogen. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, **23(2)**, 207–212.
- Snehalatharani, P., Ramesh S. and Ramesh M. (2016). Antagonistic potential of *Trichoderma* spp. against stem bleeding pathogen in coconut. *International Journal of Plant Protection*, **9(2)**, 439–443.
- Srinivasan, K., Rajendran L. and Malathi V.G. (2011). Activation of defense-related enzymes in coconut palms against Thielaviopsis infection. *Phytoparasitica*, **39(2)**, 123–131.
- Srinivasan, V., Kumar V. and Arulraj S. (2011). Screening of coconut cultivars for resistance to stem bleeding disease. *Indian Coconut Journal*, **73(11)**, 3–7.

- Sundararaj, P., Manjunatha M. and Hedge S. (2012). Influence of organic amendments on soil microbial dynamics and stem bleeding in coconut. Journal of Plantation Crops, **40(1)**, 41–46.
- Sundararaj, P., Rajesh M. and Venkatesan T. (2012). Disease management of stem bleeding in coconut. Indian Coconut Journal, **75(7)**, 18–21.
- Sundararaj, P., Ramesh R. and Suganthi M. (2020). Microbial dynamics of coconut rhizosphere in disease-suppressive soils. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 59(2), 269-277.
- Sundararaj, P., Thomas R.J. and Hariprasad P. (2020). Microbial dynamics of coconut rhizosphere in disease-suppressive soils. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 59(2), 269–277.
- Sundram, S., Kumar K.V.K. and Rethinam P. (2015). Diseases of coconut and their management. In: Chowdappa, P., & Kumar, M. K. (Eds.), Integrated Plant Disease Management in Horticultural Crops (37-50). New India Publishing Agency.
- Teka, T.A., Worku W. and Alemu D. (2019). The influence of organic acids on soil microbial communities and plant health. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 126(5), 1287-1300.
- Thomas, G.V., Arivalagan M. and Anitha M. (2021). Nanoparticle-based fungicide delivery systems in plantation crops. Indian Coconut Journal, 63(3), 7-11.
- Thomas, G.V., Arivalagan M. and Jayasekhar M. (2021).

- Enzyme-based and microbial biocontrol strategies for managing coconut diseases. Indian Coconut Journal, **64(1)**, 5–10.
- Thomas, G.V., Gopal M. and Gupta A. (2012). Impact of organic amendments and Trichoderma on coconut root microbiome and stem bleeding. Indian Coconut Journal, **55(6)**, 14–17.
- Thorpe, T.A., Arulraj S. and Venugopal R. (2005). Pathogen survival under variable environmental conditions. Plant Pathology Journal, 21(2), 101–110.
- Thorpe, T.A., McDonald J.D. and Spencer R. (2005). Pathogen survival under variable environmental conditions. Plant Pathology Journal, 21(2), 101–110.
- Van Wyk, M., Al Adawi A.O., Deadman M.L. and Wingfield M.J. (2013). Genetic diversity of Ceratocystis associated with date palm. Fungal Biology, 117(3), 220-235.
- Vargas, W.A., Rollemberg C.L., de Souza E.S. and de Almeida J.R. (2020). Organic acid-mediated fungal inhibition mechanisms. Frontiers in Microbiology, 11, 558.
- Zhang, J., Liu Y., Wang Y., Jiang W. and Lu Y. (2021). Antifungal activity and mechanism of Bacillus-derived lipopeptides against plant fungal pathogens. Frontiers in Microbiology, 12, 706550.
- Zhao, Y., Hosoyama A. and Ohmori H. (2013). Genome sequencing and virulence gene analysis of Ceratocystis fimbriata. Journal of Plant Pathology, 95(2), 337–343.